

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Subhash Chopra et al.

Application No.: 10/731,322

Confirmation No.: 5054

Filed: December 5, 2003

Art Unit: 2163

For: System And Method For Management Of
Communications Resources

Examiner: Helene Roberta Rose

**RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION
(REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION)**

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Responsive to the Office Action mailed December 5, 2006, applicants hereby request reconsideration for the reasons set forth below.

Remarks

In the Office Action mailed December 5, 2006, the Examiner indicated at pages 11-15 that applicants' claims as amended on September 6, 2005 were not considered because the amended claims introduced claim language that was not present when the Examiner conducted her original search:

Applicant argues new claim language, which was not presently defined within the original office action. therefore in response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., duration for a) are not recited in the